Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/764,430	RHOADS, GEOFFREY B.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BERNARD KRASNIC	2624
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) BERNARD KRASNIC (Examiner).	(3)	
(2) Mr. Christopher Kalafut (Reg. No. 57,946).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 May 2011	Time: <u>5:45pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Bernard Krasnic/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/764,430

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner initiated a telephone interview with the Applicant's representative, Mr. Christopher Kalafut (Reg. No. 57,946), to propose an Examiner's Amendment in order to expedite prosecution. The Examiner requested that the specification be amended by completely deleting and canceling Appendix A on pages 59-100 of the specification dated 1/23/2004, completely deleting and canceling Fig. 1 (Appendix A) and Fig. 2 (Appendix A) on pages 3-4 of travillings, and replacing "1999 (attached as Appendix A)." in line 8 on page 1 of the specification with -- 1999, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. --. Both the Applicant and the Examiner agreed to such a proposed Examiner's Amendment.